

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/965,084

Applicant(s)

WARREN, DARREN S.

Examiner

Terrence Till

Art Unit

1744

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T Snider.

(2) Charles Y. Lackey.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd paragraph rejection of Final Rejection

Claims discussed:

2-3, 5, 7-12, 15-17, and 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In Examiner Till's absence, Examiner Snider contacted Mr. Lackey regarding After Final Amdt.. Examiner Snider informed him that she will deny entry of the After Final Amdt. because of the following problems. In the After Final Amdt., claim 15 was rewritten to independent form HOWEVER it was rewritten to be the 'backwash brush kit' of claim 2 rather than the brush of claim 13. Claim 20 is still dependent on a canceled claim. In the other claims, NOT amended in the After Final Amdt., claim 12 is the same limitation as claim 7, a claim that is dependent therefrom. Claim 19 is the same limitation as claim 8, a claim that is dependent therefrom. Claim 22 is the same limitation as claim 20(as amended in the After Final amdt.), a claim it is dependent therefrom. Claims 16-17 and 19-22 recite 'The brush' HOWEVER they are dependent from an independent kit claim. .